



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6852-2025

Date of decision: 27.06.2025

Sumandeep Kaur and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Harjot Singh Mann, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners have knocked the doors of this Court, by filing the instant criminal writ petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of directions to the official respondents No.2 and 3, to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners, and to restrain the private respondents No.4 to 8, from harassing the petitioners, or interfering in their peaceful *'live-in-relationship'*.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are more than 19 years old. To substantiate his submission, he has placed reliance on a duly sworn affidavit of petitioner No.1 and Aadhaar Card of petitioner No.2, which are annexed as Annexures P-1 and P-2, respectively, with the instant criminal writ petition. It is further averred, that there is no blood relationship between them and they are living together in a *'live-in-relationship'* and are unmarried. However, they are receiving continuous threats from the private respondents concerned. They have also approached the Senior Superintendent of



Police, Tarn Taran-respondent No.2 by filing a representation dated 24.06.2025 (Annexure P-4).

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, waives service and accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents No.1 to 3.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners has placed reliance on the order passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, in case **CRWP-12177-2022**, titled '**Sonia Rani and another Vs. State of Punjab and others**, decided on 23.12.2022, wherein, the protection has been granted in a case, where the petitioners were living in a '*live-in-relationship*'.

6. The said Co-ordinate Bench, in the above matter, has observed as under:-

“13. In view of the same, it goes without saying that the protection of life and liberty is a basic feature of the Constitution of India as emanating out of Article 21. Every person, more so, a major, has right to live his/ her life with a person of his / her choice.

Whenever this Court, prima-facie, is satisfied that on account of some relatives/ persons being unhappy with the relationship between the petitioners could cause harm to the life and liberty of the petitioners, then in such circumstances, the Courts are required to pass necessary directions for their protection.

15. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances and without commenting upon the legality of the relationship between the petitioners or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this



Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the present petition with a direction to respondent no.2 to consider the representation dated 20.12.2022 (Annexure P-3) and to assess the threat perception to the petitioners and after considering the same, respondent No.2 shall take appropriate action in accordance with law.”

7. In view of the above, the instant criminal writ petition is **disposed of** with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran, to consider the representation dated 24.06.2025 (Annexure P-4), and assess the threat perception to lives and liberty of the petitioners, and after considering the same, take appropriate action, in accordance with the law.

8. However, it is clarified that in case, any criminal case is found to have been registered against the present petitioners, this direction will not debar the police authorities from taking appropriate legal action against them, in accordance with the law.

9. Petition stands **disposed of** accordingly.

10. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

27.06.2025

Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No