



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.108

TA-48-2025

Date of Decision: 09.09.2025

NISHA @ NISHA BHANDARI

...Applicant

Versus

GUJINDER SEHGAL

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI

Present:- None for the applicant.

Mr. Sunil Mallan, Advocate
for the respondent
(Through video conferencing).

ARCHANA PURI, J. (Oral)

There is no representation on behalf of the applicant, despite the case having been called twice. Even, on the last date of hearing, none had made appearance on behalf of the applicant.

Prior to the last date also, counsel for applicant had not been making appearance off and on.

Seemingly, the applicant is not interested in pursuing the transfer application.

Hence, the same is hereby dismissed for want of prosecution.

09.09.2025

Sonu

**(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No