





arrested in the present case on 25.10.2024 and is in custody since then. He further contends that the offences under Sections 467, 468 and 471 of IPC have been added by the police only to make the offence graver without any lawful justification. The investigation against the petitioner is almost complete and his custody will not serve any meaningful purpose. He further contends that co-accused, Yogesh has been admitted to bail by this Court vide order dated 04.01.2023 (Annexure P-2).

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. It is not in dispute that the co-accused, Yogesh has already been admitted to bail by this Court vide order dated 04.01.2023 (Annexure P-2). Moreover, the petitioner is in custody since 25.10.2024 and the documentary evidence, if any, has already been taken into possession by the police.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

**(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)**  
**JUDGE**

**13.01.2025**  
hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No