



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CRM-M-41596-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 22.08.2025

Satar Khan and another

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present:- Mr. Abdul Aziz, Advocate for the petitioner
(Through video conferencing).

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, Asst. A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Saksham Malhotra, Advocate for respondent No.5.

KIRTI SINGH, J.(Oral)

This petition under Section 528 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 has been filed for issuance of directions to the official respondent(s) not to harass and threat the petitioners wrongly and illegally at the instance of respondent No.5.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that respondent No.5 has repeatedly filed false complaints and representation against the petitioners before the concerned official authorities. Despite multiple inquiries being held and the allegations against the petitioners being found to be false, the petitioners are being repeatedly called by the investigating agency for further inquiries.

3. Notice of motion.



4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Kunwarbir Singh. Assistant A.G. Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of official respondents-State. Mr. Saksham Malhotra, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.5 and has filed his power of attorney in Court today, which is taken on record. He has opposed the prayer made in the petition.

5. In compliance to the order dated 01.08.2025, learned State counsel has filed the status report by way of affidavit of Superintendent of Police (PBI), District Sangrur, which is taken on record. A perusal of the same reveals that respondent No.5 has given various representation to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, the details of which reads as under:-

Sr. No.	Details of Representation
1.	Representation No.502302/PGD dated 14.01.2025
2.	Representation No.513346/PGD dated 23.01.2025 to Punjab State Women Commission, Sangrur.
3.	Representation No.517592/PGD dated 29.01.2025
4.	Representation No.517011/PGD dated 30.01.2025
5.	Representation No.531314/PGD dated 17.02.2025
6.	Representation No.525530/PGD dated 19.02.2025 to Human Right Commission, Sangrur
7.	Representation No.540630/PGD dated 15.03.2025.

6. Learned State counsel while relying on the contents of the status report dated 21.08.2025 submits that no multiple inquires, as alleged by the petitioner, have been made in the present case. In fact the representations submitted by respondent No.5, after having been found pertaining to the same facts and involving the same parties, were clubbed together and marked to the Deputy Superintendent of Police (HQ) for conducting a thorough inquiry. The needful as done by following proper procedure and no merit was found in the representations which were



accordingly consigned to record. Thereafter, three representations each by respondent No.5 and petitioner No.1 were filed before the Sangrur Police, which again were clubbed together. Upon verification it was found that the initial representations submitted by respondent No.5 had already been decided vide report dated 05.04.2025, and therefore the further representation made on the same subject matter would lead to multiple inquiries. The factum of initial inquiry was also confirmed by respondent No.5 in her statement. Therefore, in view of this statement, the report of the initial inquiry and the fact that no new material had come on record, it was recommended that no action was required on the representations. Upon approval of the concerned authority, the subsequent representations were also consigned to record on 16.08.2025.

7. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.5 has, per contra, submitted that respondent No.5 was constrained to move various representations before the authorities due to the actions of the petitioners. The allegations of harassment and threat to the petitioners are therefore completely baseless.

8. A perusal of the status report shows that the various representations moved before the concerned authorities in Sangrur by respondent No.5 were clubbed together and decided, and as per report dated 05.04.2025, no merit was found in the same and therefore they were ordered to be consigned to record. The above said representations have been decided strictly on merits and upon verification in the representations moved by respondent No.5 and petitioner No.1, no new



material was found, and in view of the initial report dated 05.04.2025, even these representations were consigned to record.

9. Learned counsel for the petitioners has not been able to establish his contention of multiple inquiries being held by the official respondents, which would have caused harassment to them.

10. In view of the foregoing discussion, no further order is required to be passed in this petition. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed.

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

22.08.2025

Kapil

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No