



228

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-6363-2025

Date of Decision:29.07.2025

MANGA

...PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**Present :** Ms. R. Akanksha, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravneet Singh Joshi, Deputy, A.G., Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.139 dated 24.04.2024, registered under Sections 406 & 420 IPC and Sections 465, 466, 467, 468, 471 IPC (added later on) Police Station City Hoshiarpur, Punjab.

2. While granting the concession of interim bail to the petitioner on 07.02.2025, this Court had noticed the following contentions:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely involved in the present case. She further contends that the entire case is based on documentary evidence, which has already been collected by the police, during the course of investigation. After completion of investigation, the chargesheet has already been submitted against the petitioner on 24.12.2024



and no witness has been examined so far. Learned counsel has placed reliance on the documents (Annexures P-4 and P-5 respectively), to contend that the mother of the petitioner has deposited a sum of Rs.17,12,600/- with the bank to settle the dispute between the parties.”

3. During the course of arguments today, learned counsel for the petitioner has reiterated the said submissions. She submits that after grant of concession of interim bail, the petitioner has not misused the concession of bail in any manner and he is regularly appearing before the Court.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, however, he is not in a position to controvert the factual submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

6. In the present case, the petitioner was ordered to be released on interim bail on 07.02.2025 and since then he is regularly appearing before the trial Court. Even the petitioner had not misused the concession of bail in any manner.

7. Consequently, the present petition is allowed and interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 07.02.2025 is made absolute.

29.07.2025
vipin

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No