

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

**COCP No. 1663 of 2019**  
**Date of decision: 2.9.2019**

**Dr. Rajan Bhatti**

**.. Petitioner**

v.

**Dr. Jaspal Kaur**

**.. Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. R. S. Bajaj, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Ms. Deepali Puri, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

...

**AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)**

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of the order dated 21.5.2018 passed by this Court in CWP No. 12905 of 2018. The operative part of the said order is reproduced below:

*“3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 22.12.2017 (P-4) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order considering all the facts narrated in the aforesaid legal notice, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case, competent authorities comes to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to the relief(s) claimed, same be released to him within a period of next 45 days. Factum of interest in view of judgment passed by Full Bench of this Court in case **A.S. Randhawa vs. State of Punjab & Ors., 1997 (3) SCT 468** as*

*well as judgment passed by this Court in CWP No.8772 of 2015 captioned as **Charan Dass vs. State of Punjab & Ors.** decided on July 11, 2017 and Instructions No.1/15/90IFPIII/4226 dated 10.05.1990 issued by the Government of Punjab, be also considered on delayed payment(s).*

*4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.”*

Learned counsel for the State has produced copy of order dated 22.7.2019 whereby the claim of the petitioner has been rejected. Copy thereof has been given to learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the order passed, the contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedies available in accordance with law against the order passed.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)**  
**JUDGE**

2.9.2019  
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No  
Whether reportable: Yes/No