



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

215

COCP No.298 of 2024 (O & M)

Date of decision :-10.09.2025

Tejbir Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Rajesh Kumari and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Shvetanshu Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Priyavrat Parashar, AAG, Haryana
for respondent No.4.

NIDHI GUPTA J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for not complying the order dated 01.5.2013 (Annexure P-2) passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CM-2252-C-2013 in RSA-4037-2005 titled as “Balbiri Devi and others vs. Tejbir Singh and others” whereby it was directed that “....In the meanwhile, status quo as obtaining today with regard to the alienation and nature of the suit property shall be maintained by the parties.”

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that during pendency of the said Regular Second Appeal the respondent



No.1 had violated the order by selling the suit property by way of Sale Deed dated 1.8.2023 (Annexure P-3).

Learned counsel for respondents No.1 to 3 has filed the Short reply on behalf of respondent No.1 by way of affidavit of Smt. Rajesh Kumari; as well as Short joint reply of respondents No.2 and 3 by way of affidavit of Smt. Sheela Devi and Smt. Angoori Devi. The same are taken on record. Copies thereof are supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner. In the said replies it is submitted that the respondent No.1 is tendering her unconditional apology for having sold the land to respondents No.2 and 3 by way of Sale Deed dated 01.8.2023. It has been stated in the said affidavit that she (respondent No.1) was not aware regarding the interim order dated 01.5.2013 passed in RSA-4037-2005 as the same was not communicated to her by her counsel and due to inadvertence the suit land was sold by her vide Sale Deed dated 01.8.2023. Similarly respondents No.2 and 3 are also tendering their unconditional apology that they were not aware regarding the interim order dated 01.5.2013 passed in RSA-4037-2005. It is further submitted that the said Sale Deed dated 01.8.2023 has now been got cancelled vide Cancellation Deed dated 07.3.2024 (Annexure R-1/1) and the possession of the said land has been restored to its original position as existed prior to order dated 01.5.2013.

Heard.

In view of the above said undisputed submissions made on behalf of the respondents, no further directions are required to be



issued in the present contempt petition. Hence, the present contempt petition stands **disposed of**.

Rule stands discharged.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stands disposed of.

September 10, 2025

Vijay Asija

(**NIDHI GUPTA**)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No