

CWP No. 21557 of 2024 and
CWP No. 21570 of 2024

2025:PHHC:081159



1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(207)

CWP No. 21557 of 2024
Date of Decision : 08.07.2025

Haryana State Warehousing Corporation

...Petitioner

Versus

Haryana State Information Commission and others

...Respondents

(208)

CWP No. 21570 of 2024

Haryana State Warehousing Corporation

...Petitioner

Versus

Haryana State Information Commission and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Gaurav Mohunta, Advocate and
Mr. Nishant Arora, Advocate for the petitioner in both cases.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Despite service, no one appears on behalf of respondent No. 3 i.e. the contesting respondent(s).
2. Proceeded ex-parte.
3. The only argument raised by the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the Commission has been directed to supply the information, which was not even asked for.



4. On being asked to point out as to how, the direction given in paragraph 9 of the order dated 13.06.2024 (Annexure P-1) which has been impugned in the present petition, the travel beyond the scope of the application.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the direction given in para 9(ii) and 9(iii) is outside the scope of the information. The paragraph 9 where the direction was given, is as under :-

“9. After hearing both the parties, the Commission decides to dispose of the case with the following directions :-

i) The present SPIO-cum-D.M. (Q.C.), Haryana State Warehousing Corporation, Panchkula shall furnish the permissible/available information (except point No. (x) duly attested, to the appellant within fortnight, free of cost through registered post, as per the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005 under intimation to the Commission.

ii) After receiving the information from the respondent SPIO, the appellant is advised to go through the same and send a rejoinder, if need be, to the SPIO through registered post pointing out the point-wise specific deficiencies i.e. how the furnished information is incomplete and incorrect, within next 15 days.

iii) After receiving the rejoinder from the appellant, the respondent SPIO shall make up those deficiencies and provide the amended information, if any, to the appellant through registered post within next two weeks, under intimation to the Commission.

iv) Non-compliance of this order would attract penal proceedings under section 20(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 against the respondent SPIO.”



6. A bare perusal of the above reproduction would show that only in case, if there is a deficiency in the information received as asked by the applicant, he can point out the same and the deficiency so pointed out, has to be complied with. Nothing has been mentioned that the information which is beyond the scope of the application is to be granted. The interpretation of the order at the hands of the petitioner is incorrect.

7. No ground is made out for any interference by this Court in the present petitions.

8. Dismissed.

9. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected cases.

July 08, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No