

IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH.

133

2025:PHHC:126680



CRWP-10025-2025 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 15.09.2025.

SHIVANI PATIYAL AND ANOTHER

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Rahul Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners namely Shivani Patiyal and Abhishek have approached this Court under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking protection of their life and personal liberty from private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners inter alia contends that the petitioners are major and are staying together in-live relationship. However, their relationship is not acceptable to the private respondents and as a result thereof, the private respondents are threatening the petitioners. A representation dated 06.09.2025 (Annexure P-8) against the threat extended at the hands of the private respondents already stands submitted by the petitioners to the respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, U.T. Chandigarh.

The petitioners apprehend threat to their lives and claim that there is a constant danger of them being implicated in a false case

Notice of motion restricted to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Pursuant to supply of advance copy, Mr. Vaibhav Mittal, Addl. P.P. U.T. Chandigarh, appears and accepts notice on behalf of the aforesaid respondents. He has no objection if appropriate direction for providing requisite protection to the petitioners is given.

Having heard the counsel for the parties, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-Station House Officer (SHO), Police Station Sector 26, Chandigarh, to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation (Annexure P-8) and to take appropriate action for protection of their lives and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

However, it is clarified that in case any criminal case has been/is registered against the petitioners, nothing in this order shall be construed as a bar for taking appropriate action by the police authorities in respect thereof in accordance with law.

The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition and above-said representation to respondent No.3-Station House Officer (SHO), Police Station Sector 26, Chandigarh, for requisite compliance.

September 15, 2025.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No