



RFA No.6341 of 2015 (O&M)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

120-49

RFA No.6341 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 17.09.2025

JATAN

.....Appellant

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Ram Bilas Gupta, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Abhinash Jain, D.A.G., Haryana.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

[1]. By way of present appeal, challenge has been laid to the Award dated 13.08.2015 passed by the learned Addl. District Judge, Faridabad-cum-Reference Court whereby Reference Petition under Section 18 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short 'the 1894 Act') preferred at the instance of appellant/landowner was partly allowed while awarding compensation @ Rs.1118/- per square yard *qua* the acquired land along with other statutory benefits/interest in his favour.

[2]. Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the matter with respect to the determination of market value stands finally adjudicated upon by the Hon'ble Apex Court vide its decision dated 14.07.2021 passed in **Civil Appeal No.2903 of 2021** titled as **"Banwari Lal and another vs. State of Haryana and ors."** reported as **"2021(3) RCR (Civil) 843"** whereby the similarly situated landowners pertaining to the same acquisition proceedings related to the land situated in revenue estate of village Neemka, Tehsil and District Faridabad, have



RFA No.6341 of 2015 (O&M)

been held entitled for the enhanced amount of compensation @ Rs.2186/- per square yard, respectively along with solatium and other statutory benefits.

[3]. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant is not willing to press the dispute about apportionment of compensation and he will be satisfied with 3/4th of the compensation awarded in his favour by the learned Reference Court vide Award dated 13.08.2015.

[4]. In view of the above as well as on account of agreed stand taken by both the sides on facts and applying the principle of parity, besides grant of just and fair compensation, the present appeal is partly allowed in terms of the aforementioned decision i.e. **Banwari Lal and another's** case (supra) and the appellant is held entitled for similar amount of compensation as has been awarded to other similarly situated landowners, along with all statutory benefits and interest available under the amended provisions of Land Acquisition Act, 1894 as applicable to the present acquisition.

[5]. Pending application(s), if any shall also stand disposed of.

September 17, 2025

Atik

**(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No