

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.120-5

**CM-17910-CII-2025 IN/AND
CR-393-2008**

Date of Decision: 19.09.2025

MOHAN LAL AND ANOTHER

....Applicants/Petitioners

Versus

BHAJAN KAUR @ HARBHAJAN KAUR

.....Non-applicant/Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI

Present:- Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate
for the applicants/petitioners.


Mr. Sanjay Kumar Chaubey, Advocate
for non-applicant/respondent
(Through video conferencing).

ARCHANA PURI, J. (Oral)

CM-17910-CII-2025 has been filed under Order 23 Rule 3 CPC for disposal of the revision petition i.e. CR-393-2008, on the basis of the compromise deed dated 30.08.2025.

The revision petition has been filed by the tenant to assail the order dated 23.10.2007, whereby an application for leave to defend, was dismissed. However, during the pendency of the revision, compromise has been effected between the parties, copy whereof is Annexure C-1. On the basis of the recitals of the said compromise, counsel for the applicants/petitioners submits that the vacant possession of the tenanted premises, has since been handed over to the respondent on 10.09.2025.

Even, counsel for the respondent, who is making appearance through video conferencing, do not dispute about the genuineness of the said



compromise reached between the parties and admits that the vacant possession of the tenanted premises, has been received by the respondent.

In the given circumstances, counsel for the applicants/petitioners submits that he does not want to pursue with the revision petition and the same be dismissed as withdrawn.

Ordered accordingly.

19.09.2025
Sonu

(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : Yes/No