



CRM-M-62431-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**CRM-M-62431-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision : 3.3.2025**

Ramandeep Singh Brar @ Ramandeep Singh

... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGHPresent: Mr. Imaan Singh Khara, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Inderjeet Singh Ladher, DAG, Punjab

Mr. Deepinder Singh Virk, Advocate,
for the complainant.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case having FIR No.85 dated 14.7.2024 registered under Sections 109/3(5) of BNS, 2023 and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act at Police Station Sadar Patiala, District Patiala.

2. The allegations in nutshell are that two unknown persons travelling in Venue car No.PB03-BH-4396 started arguing with attendant who was present on his duty at Toll Plaza, Banur situated in village Dhareri Jattan (Rajpura-Patiala Road) and then one of them took out his pistol and fired shot which hit the cabin of Toll Plaza but missed the aforesaid attendant namely Sandeep Singh and thereafter, both the said two unknown



CRM-M-62431-2024

2

persons managed to escape from there. Subsequently, the petitioner was arrested in this case.

3. Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, *inter alia*, submits that the petitioner was not named in the FIR and later on, nominated as accused and further, this is a no injury case and the petitioner is behind bars for the last more than 6 months and after completion of investigation, challan stands presented but it will take time for the trial to conclude. Furthermore, now the parties have entered into compromise vide compromise deed (Annexure P-2); that in the given circumstances, no useful purpose is going to be served by keeping the petitioner in custody for any longer period.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Inderjeet Singh Ladher, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State and submits that the petitioner and his co-accused had argued with employee of Toll Plaza and also fired shot which hit cabin of Toll Plaza. However, the State counsel has not disputed the fact that no one sustained injuries at the time of the aforesaid occurrence which had taken place on 14.7.2024 and that the petitioner, who was arrested during investigation, is now in custody for the last more than 6 months and that after presentation of challan, charges are still to be framed.

6. I have considered the submissions made by the counsel for the parties.

7. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case as are detailed above coupled with the fact that no one sustained injuries at the time of the occurrence and further, it will take time for the



CRM-M-62431-2024

3

trial to conclude, no useful purpose is going to be served by keeping the petitioner in custody for any longer period.

8. In view of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

**(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

March 3, 2025
Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No