



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(215) **CRM-M-54085 of 2024 (O&M)**
Date of Decision: 17.07.2025

PrincePetitioner
Versus

State of HaryanaRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present: Mr. Gaurav Grover, Advocate and
Ms. Charu Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Brijesh Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

KIRTI SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. An affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Traffic, Panipat, has been filed by the State, which is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to the learned counsel for the petitioner.
2. Along with the said affidavit, DNA report has been annexed as Annexure R-4. The relevant paragraph of the said DNA report is reproduced hereinafter.

“Conclusion

DNA profiling (STR analysis) performed on the source of exhibits ‘1’ (microsides), ‘2’ (cotton wool swab), ‘3a’ (pyjama-semen), ‘3a’ (pyjama-blood), ‘3b’ (lady’s shirt-semen), ‘3b’ (lady’s shirt-blood) ‘4’ (microslides), ‘5’ (swabs), ‘6a’ (salwar-semen), ‘6a’ (salwar-blood), ‘7’ (underwear of accused), ‘8’ (blood of accused), ‘9’ (jeans of accused-semen), ‘9’ (jeans of accused-blood) & ‘10’ (blood of accused) are sufficient to conclude that:-

1. *Male DNA profile generated from the source of exhibit ‘6a’ (salwar-semen) & ‘7’ (underwear of accused) are similar of the male DNA profile generated from the source of exhibit ‘8’ (blood of accused).*
2. *Male DNA profile generated from the source of exhibits ‘3a’ (pyjama-semen), ‘3b’ (lady’s shirt-semen) & ‘9’ (jeans of accused-semen) are similar to the male DNA profile generated from the source of exhibit ‘10’ (blood of accused).*
3. *Female DNA profiles generated from the source of exhibit*



'2' (cotton wool swab), '3a' (pyjama-blood), '3b' (lady's shirt-blood) '5' (swabs), '6a' (salwar-blood) & '9' (jeans of accused-blood are matching with each other, but not similar to the male DNA profile generated from the source of exhibit '8' (blood of accused) & exhibit '10' (blood of accused).

3. Faced with this situation, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the instant petition.
4. Dismissed as withdrawn.
5. Pending application(s), if any, is/are also disposed of.

**(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE**

July 17, 2025
Gurpreet Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No