



220

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7537-2025

Date of Decision: 14.02.2025

Ravi alias Suraj

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Rahul Chauhan, Advocate with
Mr. Sumit Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sheenu Sura, DAG, Haryana with
Mr. Narender Kumar Parmar, AAG, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail in case FIR No.345 dated 11.08.2024 registered under Sections 22-C, 29 of NDPS Act, 1985, at Police Station Gandhi Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that a false case has been planted against the present petitioner. Even the contraband was allegedly recovered from Vijay Kumar Ghai, co-accused and the petitioner has been arrayed as an accused on the basis of the disclosure statement suffered by his co-accused. Learned counsel further contends that the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 11.08.2024 and the challan has already been presented against him. Moreover, no recovery was effected from the petitioner and similarly placed co-accused, namely, Rahul has already been granted the concession of bail by this Court, vide order dated 03.12.2024 (Annexure P-4).



3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that one more case under the provisions of NDPS Act has already been registered against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In the present case, admittedly, no recovery was effected from the present petitioner. Further, the petitioner is in custody for the last about 06 months and the challan has already been presented against him. Moreover, similarly placed co-accused, namely, Rahul has already been granted the concession of bail by this Court, vide order dated 03.12.2024 (Annexure P-4).

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

14.02.2025
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No