



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

121

CWP-6605-2025

Date of decision: 10.03.2025

SADHANA BHARDWAJ

...Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present : Mr. Jagdeep Jaswal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Senior Panel Counsel for UOI.

Ms. Shubreet Lair, Junior Panel Counsel, UT, for
Mr. Sumeet Jain, Additional Standing Counsel, UT
for respondent No.4 to 7.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA. J.(Oral)

1. The writ petition is directed against the impugned order dated 28.02.2025, whereby, the CAT after taking into consideration all the aspects has refused to grant interim relief to the petitioner.
2. Learned counsel submits that in the facts and circumstances of the case and taking into consideration the judgment passed by the Delhi High Court in the case of *The Chief Secretary, Govt. of NCT of Delhi Versus Sh. K R Mann & Others (CWP 6588/2007) dated 28.03.2008*, the petitioner ought to have been allowed to continue in service up to the age of 62 years and the interim relief ought to have been granted.
3. With regard to the extension of age, the matter is required to be



- 2-

finally decided. By way of interim relief final relief could not have been granted by the CAT. We have been informed that the next date fixed for final hearing of the case is 01.04.2025.

4. In view thereto, we do not find any reason to interfere with the interim order passed by the CAT. The CAT would pass a final order independent of its views expressed in the impugned order dated 28.02.2025.

5. With these observations, the present writ petition is dismissed.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

10.03.2025

Amandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No