



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111**CRM-M-16498-2025**

Date of Decision: 26.03.2025

Shivani Aggarwal and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Manoj Chauhan, Advocate and
Mr. Mandeep Singla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurpartap S. Bhullar, Asst. AG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
966	27.12.2024	Sector 58, Faridabad	419, 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC

Seeking quashing of the above captioned FIR, petitioner has come up before this Court by filing the present petition under Section 528 BNS 2023.

2. Petitioners' counsel submits that out of total liability of Rs.99 lacs, they had already paid 25% to the complainant and now they have brought four demand drafts of Rs.68 lacs in the name of complainant and the offence being compoundable, they are seeking quashing of FIR.

3. Counsel for the State submits that quashing of FIR based on compromise is not maintainable only at the instance of accused alone, therefore involvement of complainant is required.



4. Petitioner's counsel submits that it is not possible for them to involve the complainant at this stage, however challan has not been filed and the matter is still under investigation.

5. In these circumstances, petitioner is directed to handover the demand drafts of Rs.68 lacs to the investigator on or before 29.03.2025. On receipt of such demand drafts, the investigator shall contact the complainant and take their opinion in writing that whether they would like to accept the said demand drafts or not. In case, the complainant refused to take the demand drafts, he shall return the same to the petitioner and the petitioner will deposit the same in the Court of concerned Chief Judicial Magistrate and concerned Court shall deposit the same in the shape of FDR. After deposit of amount, petitioner shall be at liberty to file a petition for quashing of FIR either based on the compromise or on merits.

6. Petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observations. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

26.03.2025

anju rani/renu bala

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

Yes
No