



106

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP-1596-2025

Date of decision: 12.05.2025

Rupinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Anurag Tripathi and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Rajesh K. Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Seemar, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 28.03.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has highlighted paragraph 3 of the order dated 23.01.2024 passed by this Court and the said paragraph is reproduced hereinbelow:-

3. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.1- Board to consider representation dated 07.08.2023 (Annexure P-11) in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then respondent No.1-Board would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, respondent No.1- Board is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are



not meritorious then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

Learned ccounsel for the petitioner has submitted that till date, no consideration muchless speaking order has been passed by respondent No.1.

Notice of moiton to respondent Nos.1 and 2 only for 12.05.2025 to show compliance of the order dated 23.01.2024 passed by this Court in CWP-1452-2024.

To be shown in the urgent list.

28.03.2025”

2. Learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 and 2 has submitted a compliance report dated 12.05.2025 which is taken on record and has highlighted the speaking order dated 02.05.2025 (Annexure R-1/2) passed in compliance of the order passed by this Court.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of the same, the present contempt petition be disposed of but liberty be granted to the petitioner to challenge the order dated 02.05.2025, if so advised.

4. Accordingly, the present contempt petition is disposed of with liberty aforesaid.

12.05.2025

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No