



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

CWP-27636-2025

Date of Decision: 16.09.2025

TARA RANI KHANNA THROUGH HER LEGAL HEIRS

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF UT CHANDIGARH AND ORS

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present:- Mr. Kanwaljit Singh, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Ajaivir Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Veer Imaan Singh Gill, Advocate, for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

1. At the very outset, learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that certain grievances have been raised in the present writ petition but he has instructions to submit that at this stage, the petitioners will be satisfied in case they are allowed to make a representation to the respondents raising the said grievances before the authority concerned and the same may kindly be ordered to be decided by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner so that the petitioners are satisfied that whatever dues admissible to their late parents be given in the due course.

2. Notice of motion.

3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Gaurav Rana, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-UT and Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.2



and 3.

4. Learned counsels for the respondents submit that if any representation is received at the hand of the petitioners, the same will be looked into and appropriate order will be passed within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order by the authority concerned and in case, it is found that the claim of the petitioners is meritorious, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order for not accepting the claim of the petitioners and the same will be conveyed to them for information and necessary action.

5. Learned senior counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the statement made by learned counsels for the respondents, the present writ petition be disposed of as not pressed with liberty as prayed for.

6. Ordered accordingly.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

**(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE**

September 16, 2025

harish

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No