



257

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-2186-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision :25.08.2025

RAJ KUMAR AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Versus

RAJINDER KAUR AND ANR

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present: Mr.Vipin Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr.Sunil Kumar Rohilla, Advocate for
B.R.Rana, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr.Luvinder Sofat, Sr.DAG, Punjab.

KIRTI SINGH, J.(Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 BNSS for quashing of Complaint No.50, dated 04.02.2014, registered under Sections 452, 354, 324, 323, 379, 148 and 149 IPC titled as Rajinder Kaur vs. Raj Kumar and others (Annexure P-1); summoning order dated 06.04.2016 passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Jalandhar (Annexure P-2) and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 19.10.2024 (Annexure P-6) effected between the parties.
2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and also gone through the case file.
3. Vide order dated 09.04.2025, passed by this Court, parties were directed to appear before the Area Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements with regard to the compromise.
4. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, report dated 08.05.2025 has been received from the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar. A perusal of the said



report reveals that statements of the concerned persons have been recorded in the present case, who have stated that the matter has been settled between them and they have no objection in case the complaint in question is quashed. The compromise effected between them is genuine, without any undue influence and coercion.

5. Vide order of even date passed in CRM-M No.39872 of 2025, the order declaring petitioner No.3 as a proclaimed offender has been quashed.

6. The Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, held that High Court has power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to allow the compounding of non-compoundable offence and quash the proceedings where the High Court is of the view that the same was required to prevent the abuse of the process of law or otherwise to secure the ends of justice. This power of quashing is not confined to matrimonial disputes alone.

7. Hon'ble the Supreme Court in the case of ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543***, had observed that in order to secure the ends of justice or to prevent the abuse of process of Court, inherent power can be used by this Court to quash criminal proceedings in which a compromise has been effected. The relevant portion of para 57 of the said judgment reads thus:-

“57. The position that emerges from the above discussion can be summarised thus: the power of the High Court in quashing a criminal proceeding or FIR or complaint in exercise of its inherent jurisdiction is distinct and different from the power given to a criminal court for compounding the offences under Section 320 of the Code.



Inherent power is of wide plenitude with no statutory limitation but it has to be exercised in accord with the guideline engrafted in such power viz; (i) to secure the ends of justice or (ii) to prevent abuse of the process of any Court.

xxx xxxxxx. ”

8. In view of the afore-referred judgments and after perusing the report of the trial Court regarding amicable settlement between the petitioner and the complainant, this Court finds that quashing the FIR will accord a quietus to all disputes between the parties and it is in the interest of both sides to bury the hatchet and lead a peaceful life. Thus, no useful purpose would be served in continuing the proceedings and in order to secure the ends of justice, the criminal proceedings in the present case deserve to be quashed.

9. Resultantly, the present petition is allowed. Complaint No.50, dated 04.02.2014, registered under Sections 452, 354, 324, 323, 379, 148 and 149 IPC titled as Rajinder Kaur vs. Raj Kumar and orthers (Annexure P-1); summoning order dated 06.04.2016 passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Jalandhar (Annexure P-2) and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 19.10.2024 (Annexure P-6) ***subject to the costs of Rs. 20,000/- to be deposited in the Poor Patient Welfare Fund, PGIMER, Chandigarh within one month.***

Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of accordingly.

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

August 25, 2025
anita

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No