


IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:138305



(117)

CRWP-10694-2025  
Decided on : 01.10.2025

**Simranjeet Kaur and another**

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

**State of Punjab and others**

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL**

Present:- Mr. Mikhail Kad & Mr. Ashdeep Singh, Advocates  
for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*

**SUMEET GOEL, JUDGE (Oral)**

1. The petition in hand has been preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India with the following substantive prayer(s):-

*“Issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and personal liberty of the petitioners since petitioners have solemnized love marriage against the wishes of the respondent No.4 and 5 and now due to this reason private respondents are raising threats to the life and liberty of the petitioners and family members of the petitioner No.2.”*

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners after arguing for sometime has limited his prayer by stating that he would be satisfied, for the *nonce*, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 (Senior Superintendent of Police, Ferozepur) to consider and take a conscious decision on the representation dated 29.09.2025 made by the petitioners (copy whereof has been annexed as Annexure P-6 with the petition in hand) in a time-bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the strength of advance copy, Mr. Gurpartap S. Bhullar, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3. He has urged that he has no objection in case limited prayer made by the petitioners, for decision of their representation dated 29.09.2025 (Annexure P-6), is directed for.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the rival party(s) and upon perusal of the paper-book, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the petition in hand with the following directions:

(i) Respondent No.2 (Senior Superintendent of Police, Ferozepur) is mandated to look into the representation dated 29.09.2025 (Annexure P-6) moved by the petitioners, objectively consider the grievance(s) raised therein & take ratiocinated decision thereupon within a period of 15 days from today. Said decision be conveyed to the petitioners within 7 days thereafter

(ii) No order as to costs.

**01.10.2025**

*Naveen*

**(SUMEET GOEL)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No