

At the outset it needs to be clarified that the petition has been filed on the strength of alleged compromise having been affected between the petitioner and the complainant.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State of Punjab and seeks time to file status report/reply.

Mr. Vikas Bishnoi, Advocate has filed vakalatnama to represent the complainant. Learned counsel for the complainant has also endorsed the factum of compromise. Both the counsels have also requested for an adjournment on the ground that the parties are likely to file a petition for quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

Heard.

Adjourned to 10.09.2025.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency for fifteen days. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).”

3. Pursuant thereto, the status report in the shape of affidavit of Mr. Naveen Kumar, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Sri Muktsar Sahib, District Sri Muktsar Sahib, has been placed on record. In para 11 thereof, it has been mentioned that petitioner has joined the investigation, pursuant thereto the weapon of offence has also been recovered from him. Reference has also been made to the past antecedents of the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in compliance to the order dated 21.08.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such interim bail granted to the

petitioner may be confirmed.

Both the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the complainant have submitted that parties have amicably resolved their dispute and petition for quashing the FIR is likely to be filed in the near future.

Learned counsel for the complainant has endorsed the factum of the compromise.

5. Learned State counsel has also intimated that the petitioner has joined investigation and is no more required for any custodial investigation in this case nor he is required for further investigation.

6. Heard.

7. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation, interim bail granted vide order dated 21.08.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

8. The petition stands allowed.

10.09.2025

Parveen kumar

**(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No