



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

224

**CRM-M-194-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 03.04.2025**

RANJIT SINGH

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Simranjeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG Punjab.

SANJIV BERRY. J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel, at the outset, has referred to para 8 of the short reply dated 03.02.2025 submitted by Deputy Superintendent of Police, Dharamkot, District Moga to submit that no evidence has come on record to link the present petitioner with the occurrence, as mentioned in the FIR, as such, the petitioner is not required by the police in connection with the present case.

In view of the specific statement made by learned State counsel on the basis of the short reply filed by the concerned Deputy Superintendent of Police, the present petition has become infructuous and is accordingly disposed of.

**(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE**

03.04.2025*S.Sharma(syr)*

| | | |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | : | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>Whether reportable</i> | : | <i>Yes/ No</i> |