

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

2025.PHHC.007793



(102)

RSA-1364-1996(O&M)

Date of Decision:- 20.01.2025

The Hafed Spinning Mills and anotherAppellants

Versus

Raj Pal and anotherRespondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present Mr.Piyush Bansal, Advocate,
for the appellants.

Mr.Kartikey Chaudhary, Advocate,
for Mr. Samrat Malik, Advocate,
for the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel appearing for respondent has submitted that in the present case the impugned order reverting the plaintiff was of the year 27.06.1986; the suit was filed by the plaintiff/respondent on 25.01.1990; sufficient time has elapsed and the respondent no.1 has retired from service and thus, nothing survives in the present appeal.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the present appeal may be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but liberty may be granted to the appellants to revive the appeal, in case any cause of action survives.

3. In view of the above, the present appeal is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with the aforesaid liberty.

20.01.2025

mamta

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Yes/No
Yes/No