

2025:PHHC:084589



324.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20837-2025

Date of decision: 14.07.2025

Ritesh and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Sagar Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Arishdeep Mraad, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.321, dated 11.08.2023, under Sections 147, 149, 308, 323, 506 of IPC (Sections 147 and 149 IPC deleted and Section 34 IPC added lateron), registered at Police Station Sector 13/17, Panipat, District Panipat (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 07.04.2025 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 06.05.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate to get

their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panipat, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed the copies of statements of the parties, alongwith its report.

5. Reply by way of an affidavit dated 12.07.2025 of Rajbir Singh, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, City, Panipat, on behalf of respondent No.1-State, has been filed in Court today, which is taken on record.

6. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioner and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

7. In view of the report of learned trial Court and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another,***

2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioners.

8. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

July 14, 2025
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No