

**CWP No. 9459 of 2025 and  
other connected cases**

2025:PHHC:100276



**1**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH  
(Sr. No. 283)**

**(1)**

**CWP No. 9459 of 2025  
Date of Decision : 05.08.2025**

**Parminder Singh**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**District Magistrate-cum-Appellate Tribunal, Amritsar and others**

**...Respondents**

**(2)**

**CWP No. 9547 of 2025**

**Kanwaljit Singh**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**District Magistrate-cum-Appellate Tribunal, Amritsar and others**

**...Respondents**

**(3)**

**CWP No. 9463 of 2025**

**Kanwaljit Singh**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**District Magistrate-cum-Appellate Tribunal, Amritsar and others**

**...Respondents**

**(4)**

**CWP No. 9499 of 2025**

**Kanwaljit Singh**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**District Magistrate-cum-Appellate Tribunal, Amritsar and others**

**...Respondents**

**CWP No. 9459 of 2025 and  
other connected cases**

2025:PHHC:100276



2

(5)

**CWP No. 9502 of 2025**

**Kanwaljit Singh**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**District Magistrate-cum-Appellate Tribunal, Amritsar and others**

**...Respondents**

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI***

Present: Mr. Prateek Sodhi, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Jasinder Singh Thind, Advocate and  
Ms. Rupinder Kaur Thind, Advocate  
for respondents No. 4 and 5 in CWP-9549-2025.

Mr. Vijay Kumar Jindal, Senior Advocate with  
Mr. Vijayveer Singh, Advocate and  
Mr. Abhishek Shukla, Advocate  
for respondents No. 3 and 9 in CWP-9549-2025,  
for respondents No. 3 and 10 in CWP-9463-2025,  
for respondents No. 3 and 10 in CWP-9499-2025 and  
for respondents No. 3 and 10 in CWP-9502-2025.

\*\*\*

**Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)**

1. Learned counsel for the parties have amicably settled the issue between themselves on certain terms and conditions so that no prejudice is caused to either party in the facts and circumstances of the present case.

2. Learned counsel for the parties submit that though, the property in question has been reverted to the respondent No.3-senior citizen and some share of the said property has been further transferred by the senior citizen to her daughter/respondent No.9 but the property in question which was reverted back to the senior citizen, which property was transferred to the



grand sons, namely, Shamsheer Singh, Daler Singh and Gurteeg Singh, will remain in the ownership of the said three grandsons for the purpose of litigation initiated by the petitioner against the said grandsons of the senior citizen but, the possession of the said property in question which is being enjoyed by the senior citizen and her daughter as of now, in pursuance of the directions of the authorities exercising jurisdiction under 2007 Act, will not be disturbed and the proceeds of the said land will also be enjoyed by the respondent No.3- senior citizen and her daughter/respondent No.9 till any order is passed by the Appellate Authority under The Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007 (hereinafter referred to as '2007 Act') initiated by the grandsons of the senior citizen against the order of the Tribunal or through the Civil Suit preferred by the petitioners, wherein, the petitioners are claiming the ownership over the said property from the respondents No.6 to 8 grandsons on the basis of an agreement to sell entered into between the petitioners and the respondents No. 6 to 8 grandsons for which a suit for Specific Performance has been filed by the petitioners, which even is decided at a later point of time.

3. As per the settlement between the parties, it is directed that till any order is passed in the said Civil Suit, the property in question will remain in the possession of the senior citizen and her daughter for litigation purposes qua the case of the Specific Performance and till that time they will also enjoy the proceeds of the said property in question and thereafter, the same will be as per the decision in the civil suit.



4

4. The senior citizen will also be given liberty to intervene in the suit filed by the petitioners seeking Specific Performance of the agreement to sell dated 21.10.2019 entered into between the petitioners and the grandsons whose details have been mentioned here-in-before and she will be allowed to assist the Court to come to the rightful conclusion regarding the agreement to sell, which is sought to be implemented by the petitioners against the grandsons of the senior citizen.

5. Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the senior citizen as well as daughter of the senior citizen submits that the property in question will not be alienated any further which may birth further right to any third party in the property in question.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in case, the senior citizen and her daughter are impleaded as respondents by way of an application in the civil suit filed by the petitioners seeking Specific Performance of the agreement to sell, petitioners will not object to their intervention as a party as has been agreed between the parties, recorded here-in-before.

7. Learned counsel for the parties submit that the present petitions be disposed of in terms of the said order.

8. As prayed for by the petitioners, the question of law as has been raised in the present petitions qua the maintenance of the appeal at the hands of the petitioners under 2007 Act before the Deputy Commissioner concerned be kept open.

9. Ordered accordingly.



10. Learned counsel for the parties submit that as both the parties are alleging collusion with the grandsons to grab the property, the Civil Court will keep the said issue in mind while deciding the suit for Specific Performance.

11. The writ petitions are disposed of in above terms.

12. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected cases.

**August 05, 2025**  
*kanchan*

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes*

*Whether reportable : No*