



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

206

**COCP No.878 of 2020 (O & M)****Date of decision :-28.08.2025****PNB Housing Finance Limited****.....Petitioner****Versus****Shri Amit Khatri, District Magistrate, District Gurugram,  
Haryana and others****.....Respondents****CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA**

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Priyavrat Parashar, AAG, Haryana.

**NIDHI GUPTA J. (Oral)**

Prayer in this petition is for initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for not complying the order dated 27.9.2019 (Annexure P-2) passed by a Division Bench of this Court in CWP-30959-2018 titled as “PNB Housing Finance Limited vs. District Magistrate, Gurugram and others” vide which the petition was disposed of on the basis of understanding/undertaking of respondents No.3 and 4 therein that “.....they would pay the entire dues of the Bank within a period of two months counted from today and in the interregnum the Bank shall not take any coercive steps for taking possession of the secured assets and shall also hand over the GPAs of two shops which are in their possession. At the same time, it is also made clear that in case the amount is not paid as undertaken today by



*respondents No.3 and 4, the petitioner would be at liberty to take possession of the secured assets in accordance with law and the District Magistrate, Gurugram is directed to assist the petitioner to take possession of the secured assets immediately.”*

The matter pertains to the year 2020. The order sheets shows that on the last date i.e. 07.11.2023 there was no representation on behalf of petitioner. Even today there is no representation on behalf of petitioner.

A bare reading of the above facts shows that the petitioner has exhibited an utterly casual attitude in the pursuit of the present litigation. It is to be appreciated that very valuable public time of the Court has been expended in affording opportunities to the petitioner in the interest of justice. On the other hand, the petitioner has adopted an utterly casual and careless approach. It is clear that neither the petitioner nor its counsel are seriously interested in pursuing the present matter. Thus, this Court is left with no other option except to **dismiss** the same for non-prosecution.

Ordered accordingly.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stands disposed of.

August 28, 2025

Vijay Asija

( **NIDHI GUPTA** )  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No