



CRM-M-45173-2025 1

103 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-45173-2025
Date of decision: 20.08.2025

VIJAY KUMAR ALIAS RINKUPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANARespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **SUBHAS MEHLA**

Present: Mr. Raman Chawla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Veer Singh, Sr.DAG, Haryana.


SUBHAS MEHLA, J (Oral):

1. Petitioner has filed petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.210, dated 19.07.2025, under Sections 20(b), 20(B), 27-A, 61 and 85 of Narcotics Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Bawani Kheda, District Bhiwani.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that petitioner is falsely implicated in this case on the basis of the disclosure statement of the co-accused from whose possession the narcotics were recovered. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that antecedents of the present petitioner are clear and clean and he is not involved in any other criminal case.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Karan Veer Singh, Sr.DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Learned State counsel contended that besides the disclosure statement of the co-accused regarding the involvement of the



CRM-M-45173-2025 2

present petitioner whereby he supplied the narcotics to the co-accused, there is also electronic evidence against the present petitioner that he was in company of the co-accused at the time of handing over the narcotic. Petitioner is required for custodial interrogation to ascertain the source of contraband.

5. Heard.

6. Keeping in view the contention of learned State counsel that accused is required for custodial interrogation to know the source of contraband therefore this Court finds no merit in the present petition and the same is dismissed.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

20.08.2025

monika

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes /No
2. Whether reportable : Yes /No