

2025:PHHC:066494



CR-2665-2025(O&M)

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR-2665-2025(O&M)

Date of decision : 19.05.2025

Chandigarh Housing Board

... Petitioner

Versus

Labh Singh

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Vishal Sodhi, Advocate and
Mr.Jitesh Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

CM-9878-CII-2025

1. This is an application under Section 151 CPC for revival of the revision petition which was disposed of vide order dated 02.05.2025.

2. For the reasons stated in the application which is supported by an affidavit, the application is allowed and the order dated 02.05.2025 is recalled and the main petition is ordered to be restored to its original number.

CR-2665-2025(O&M)

1. This is a Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order dated 17.08.2024 (Annexure P-6) passed by the Additional District Judge, Chandigarh, vide



which the dispossession of the respondent has been stayed during the pendency of the appeal.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that on account of huge default in the payment of installments by the respondent-allottee, cancellation order had been passed in the year 2019 and thereafter, eviction order had been passed on 22.07.2024 and in the appeal filed by the respondent-Labh Singh, an interim order with respect to possession is continuing in favour of the said respondent. It is submitted that at this stage, the petitioner would limit his prayer to expeditious disposal of the first appeal.

3. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances and also limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a request to the Ist Appellate Court to decide the appeal filed by the said Labh Singh as expeditiously as possible. All the counsel appearing before the Ist Appellate Court are also requested to fully assist the Ist Appellate Court in expeditious disposal of the said appeal.

4. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the Ist Appellate Court would decide the same as expeditiously as possible, independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

May 19, 2025.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No