



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

231

CRM-M-24759 of 2025 (O&M)

Date of decision : 19.05.2025

Ravi Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Vivek Salathia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

H.S. Grewal, J. (Oral)

1. This is a petition for regular bail filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS) in case bearing FIR No.120 dated 13.10.2024 under Sections 333, 115(1), 118, 118(2), 191(3), 190, 324(4), 304 of BNS, 2023 (Section 238 BNS added later on) registered at Police Station Majitha, Amritsar (Rural), District Amritsar.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner alongwith his family members have caused injuries to the complainant party, armed with a *datar* amounting to Section 118 BNS. It is stated that the wife of the petitioner namely Gurjeet Kaur was attacked by the complainant party who is stated to be neighbor of the petitioner, and she suffered several injuries and an effort was also made to disrobe her as well. The petitioner is stated to have reacted to the injuries suffered and disrobement of his wife.

3. Notice of motion.



4. Mr. Manveer Singh Toor, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has undergone custody period of 05 months and 06 days. He further contends that the petitioner has clean antecedents.

6. Learned counsel for the State vehemently opposes the grant of concession of regular bail by way of filing of custody certificate dated 17.05.2025 and states that challan in the present case has been filed.

7. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the material placed on record.

8. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, and the fact that the petitioner has been in custody for a period of 05 months and 06 days and the investigation in the present case is complete and the challan stands presented and since the petitioner is not involved in any other case, therefore, no useful purpose would be served to further detain the petitioner in jail and since the conclusion of the trial is likely to take a long time, further incarceration of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Therefore, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

9. Hence, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is granted concession of regular bail in the present case, on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/ Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned. The pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.



10. It is however, made clear that in case during his bail, the petitioner indulges in any offence, the State shall be at liberty to file an application for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

19th May, 2025

Sonia Puri

**(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No