

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:085284



(208)

CRM-M-32511-2025
Decided on : 15.07.2025

Parminder Kaur

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Ripudaman Singh Brar, Advocate for
Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana

Sumeet Goel (Oral):

1. Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.160 dated 25.03.2025 registered for offences punishable under Sections 420, 406 IPC registered at Police Station Rania, District Sirsa; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

2. On 16.06.2025, the following order was passed:-

“Counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner is a lady aged about 41 years, main accused actually is the husband of the petitioner and the petitioner has been roped in so as to exert pressure on her husband, the petitioner is having matrimonial discord with her husband, on account of which litigation already stands instituted & the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein.

Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance notice; Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana has entered appearance on behalf of the respondent-State of Haryana.

Adjourned to 15.07.2025.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 20.06.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to her furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. She shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.”

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions from ASI Davinder) has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation, but is required for custodial interrogation as she is not cooperating for recovery of the money in question.

4. Having heard learned counsel for the rival parties and upon perusal of the record, this Court deems it appropriate to confirm the order dated 16.06.2025, as the petitioner has joined investigation and her alleged non-cooperation for recovery of the money in question cannot be any ground to reject the petition in hand.

5. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the order dated 16.06.2025 granting interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is hereby made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

6. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

July 15, 2025

Naveen

**(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No