



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

229

CRR-1829-2022

Date of decision : 07.03.2025

TabassumPetitioner

versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Satish Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, A.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. S.K. Bawa, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 4 & 6.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant revision petition challenging the order dated 30.07.2022 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Nuh, whereby an application filed by the petitioner under Section 319 of Cr.P.C. for summoning respondents No.2 to 6 as an additional accused, has been dismissed.

2. In compliance to the order dated 07.02.2025 passed by this Court, Sh. Ajay K. Verma, Additional District and Sessions Judge, Nuh has submitted his comments dated 03.03.2025 through the District Judge, Nuh, which are taken on record. The explanation(s) furnished by the concerned Judicial Officer as well as the supporting staff are accepted and no further action be taken thereupon.

3. Learned counsel for the parties jointly submit that since the main accused persons in the FIR in question have already been acquitted by the trial Court, vide Judgment dated 16.05.2024, therefore, the present petition has become infructuous.

**CRR-1829-2022****2**

4. As per record, learned counsel for the petitioner has not chosen to file application under Section 378(4) of Cr.P.C. seeking leave to appeal against acquittal of the main accused and as of now, the judgment dated 16.05.2024 passed by learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Nuh has attained finality.

5. Keeping in view the totality of facts and circumstances of the case, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

07.03.2025*kothiyal***(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No