



**210 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-48478-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 04.04.2025

PRABHASH CHHAKKAR

...Petitioner

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG Haryana.

Ms. Deepika, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate for respondents No. 5 and 6.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. seeking issuance of appropriate directions for handing over investigation in FIR No. 554 dated 22.10.2018 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 147, 149, 323, 379-B and 341 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station City Narnaul District Mahendergarh to an independent agency or for formulation of Special Investigation Team (SIT) for re-investigation in the FIR(supra).

Learned State counsel, at the outset, on instructions from ASI Sanjay submits that Investigating Agency has already concluded the investigation in FIR(supra) and presented the final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. before the jurisdictional Court, therefore, the grievance raised by the petitioner in the present petition has been substantially redressed.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that all the grievances raised by the petitioner in the present petition have still not been redressed as the medical record has not been incorporated in the



CRM-M-48478-2019 (O&M)

-2-

final report and further the concerned doctor has not been cited as a prosecution witness.

Per contra, learned counsel for respondents No. 5 and 6 submits that the present petition has become infructuous.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as such.

The petitioner is granted liberty to file appropriate application before trial Court for production of medical record and summon the concerned doctor. In case, such application is filed, learned trial Court is directed to decide the same accordance with law.

Further, if any grievance, raised in the present petition, still survives, the petitioner would be at liberty to avail the alternative remedy as may be available to him, in accordance with law.

04.04.2025
Ajay Goswami

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>