



102

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

RSA-74-1994

Date of decision: 02.04.2025

Punjab State Electricity Board and another ...Appellants

Versus

Raj Kumar and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Sabir Singh, Advocate for the appellants.

Mr. Kartik Bansal, Advocate for respondent No.1.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. The respondents-plaintiffs had filed a suit for declaration to the effect that the office order dated 06.01.1989 with respect to promotion was illegal, null and void. The suit of the plaintiffs was decreed and the appeal filed by the present appellants was dismissed. A Coordinate Bench of this Court on 19.08.1994 had stayed the operation of the judgment and decree.

2. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 has submitted that in spite of his best efforts, he has not been able to get in touch with respondent No.1 nor respondent No.1 has contacted the office of the counsel so as to state as to whether any cause survives in the present appeal or not.

3. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that neither the respondents nor any of their representatives have approached the concerned Department for seeking the enforcement of the judgment and decree.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances and with the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the present Regular Second Appeal is disposed of at this stage with liberty to both the parties to revive the same in case any cause survives in the present case and in case any of the representatives of the respondents approach the concerned Department to seek the enforcement of the judgment and decree of the trial Court as well as the First Appellate Court.

5. Registry is directed to send a copy of the present order to the respondents at the address given in the memo of parties.

02.04.2025

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No