



CRM-M-39532-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

105

CRM-M-39532-2025

Date of decision : 25.07.2025

Lalit

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.. Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. Gulshan Nandwani, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, Addl. AG, Haryana

\*\*\*

**H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)**

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the BNSS with a prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 163 dated 14.06.2025 under Sections 384, 506 IPC and Section 6 of POCSO Act registered at Police Station Manesar, District Gurugram.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner has committed an unnatural act with the complainant's son, who is aged about 15 years old, and also forced him to steal a sum of Rs. 1,50,000/- in cash along with gold from his own house. This case came into light when the minor tried to committ suicide.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The FIR has been registered after a delay of about one year. No recovery has been effected from the petitioner. There is no description regarding the date and time of the occurrence which

**CRM-M-39532-2025****-2-**

itself shows that all the allegations are false and fabricated. He further submits that the co-accused has already been granted bail by this Court.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, Addl. AG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. He has opposed the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner is the main accused who sexually assaulted the child and has forced him to steal money as well as leading him to commit suicide.

6. I have heard the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties and gone through the record.

7. Anticipatory bail is a discretionary relief which should be granted only in exceptional case and the present case is not one of those cases. Keeping in view the serious nature of the offence committed by the petitioner, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required, no ground is made out to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

**(H.S.GREWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**25.07.2025***renu*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No