



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-57854 of 2024(O&M)  
Date of Order:11.08.2025

**Bhanwar Singh**

**.Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana and another**

**..Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL**

**Present: Mr. Vikram Rana, Advocate  
for the petitioner.**

**Mr. Vishal Kashyap, Sr. DAG, Haryana**

**Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate  
for respondent no.2.**

**SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL, JUDGE**

1. First petition under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, has been filed by the petitioner for grant of regular bail in case arising out of FIR No.182, dated 19.06.2024, registered under Section 10, 17, 4 and 8 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012, and Section 354, 354-D, 363, 366-A, 376(3), 509 & 34 IPC, at Police Station Bawani Khera, District Bhiwani.

2. The case was registered on a complaint of mother of the prosecutrix , who alleged that her daughter 'K' (name withheld), aged 14 years, went missing at 12 O'clock in the night. Rs.80,000/- was also missing from the house and she suspected that Jinu son of Madan Badgujar resident of Roteria had enticed her away.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter-alia* submits that co-

accused, namely, Parduman and Sandeep had since been enlarged on regular bail by this court vide order dated 14.11.2024 and 04.12.2024. He urged that allegations against the petitioner were on the same footing. The only allegation against the petitioner was of misbehavior. He further submitted that charges were framed in the case on 01.07.2023, 35 prosecution witnesses had been examined and only 3 remained. Thus, on the ground of parity, petitioner was entitled to bail.

4. Learned State counsel and Sh. Abhimanyu Singh, counsel for respondent no.2, on the other hand, opposed the prayer for bail, arguing that the trial was at fag end and car of the petitioner was used by the main accused for commission of crime. The petitioner was thus a facilitator in the subject crime and his bail application deserves to be dismissed.

5. Custody certificate dated 22.11.2024, has been produced on record, according to which petitioner is in custody for the last four months and four days. In her statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C, recorded by the police during investigation, the only allegation the prosecutrix levelled against the petitioner was that when Anil took her to Meham, he called some of his friends, namely, Bhanwar Singh and Sandeep, who misbehaved with her. 35 out of 38 prosecution witnesses in the case have been examined in the trial. Co-accused Sandeep and Parduman have already been enlarged on regular bail by this court vide order dated 04.12.2024. Further detention of the petitioner is not warranted and he too deserves the concession of regular bail on the ground of parity. Accordingly, without an expression on merits of the case, the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

6. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)  
JUDGE

11<sup>th</sup> August, 2025  
nt

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No