



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM-13128-CWP-2023 in/&
RA-CW-282-2023 in
CWP-21369-2013
Date of Decision:24.09.2025**

AMRIT LAL BANSAL

.....Applicant/Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Baljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate,
for the applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

CM-13128-CWP-2023

For the good and valid reasons assigned in the application,
same is **allowed**, and the delay of 109 days in filing the accompanying
review application is, hereby, condoned.

RA-CW-282-2023

Through the instant application filed under Order 47 Rule 1
read with Section 151 CPC, the petitioner seeks a review of the
judgement dated 21.03.2023, on the ground that the Policy of 2001,
which governs the case of the present applicant/petitioner for
regularisation also grant liberty to the department to relax the rules.

Learned counsel for the applicant/petitioner submits that in
the year 2001, the vacancy with regard to the post of Lab Chemist was

vacant, therefore, on this count the judgment (*supra*), is required to be reviewed.

This Court has considered the submissions, as made by learned counsel for the applicant/petitioner.

It is a positive case of the respondent-State that the applicant/petitioner does not possess the requisite qualification, as he is only a B.SC. Graduate with Chemistry, therefore, he is not qualified to be posted as Lab Assistant. Although, he was regularised as a Lab Chemist, however, he has been given the pay-scale of only a Assistant Lab Chemist and his claim for the said post from the date of 2001, was declined on account of non-availability of the vacancy.

The rules to which the learned counsel for applicant/petitioner refers to, does not give any right for to the applicant, to seek absorption against a post, for which he does not possess the requisite qualification. Accordingly, no error or any illegality *per se* pointed out by learned counsel for the applicant/petitioner, requiring this Court to exercise the power of review.

Consequently, the review application is **dismissed**.

All other pending application(s), if any, also stand **disposed** of accordingly.

September 24, 2025
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No