

Tilak Panwar Vs. Hemlata and another

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Nobody is putting appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

Perusal of the paper-book would reveal that on an application under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act filed by the respondent-wife Hemlata; learned District Judge Family Court, Rohtak had allowed interim maintenance @ ₹4,000/- per month payable by the husband Tilak Panwar i.e. petitioner herein besides litigation expenses of ₹5,500/- by way of the impugned order dated 01.08.2016. This Court vide an order dated 07.02.2016 while issuing notice of motion directed the petitioner to deposit ₹10,000/- towards litigation expenses within 15 days and then to issue notice to the respondents. The petition was later on dismissed for want of prosecution on 26.03.2019.

On 01.05.2019, application for restoration was dismissed as not pressed as in the meantime, the divorce petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act had been finally decided.

The matter has now been listed on account of a note put up by the Registry so as to pass order regarding the amount of ₹10,000/- which was deposited by the petitioner vide deposit receipt No.386 dated 12.05.2017. As per the note, respondent No.1 Hemlata i.e. wife had not put in appearance before this Court.

As respondent No.1 had not put in appearance, for whom expenses were directed to be deposited, therefore, it is directed that amount of ₹10,000/- as deposited by the petitioner be refunded by the Registry as per rules, to him.

IOIN stands disposed of accordingly.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

September 10, 2025

Neetika Tuteja