



249

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27990-2025

Date of Decision: 26.05.2025

RASHMI TEJI @ PINKI

...PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

**Coram :** Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.ShekhawatPresent : Mr. Sanyam Khetarpal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)**

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to her in case FIR No.09 dated 18.01.2024, registered under Sections 420 of IPC, 1860 (Section 120-B IPC, 1860 & Section 66-C/66-D of Information Technology Act, 2000 added later on), Police Station Cyber Crime Central, District Faridabad.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the name of the petitioner was not mentioned in the FIR and has been falsely involved in the present case. Even as per the case of the prosecution, an amount of Rs.7,50,000/- was transferred in the account of Sagar Ghonsle, who was maintaining his account in the Punjab National Bank. He next contends that the petitioner is in custody since 11.06.2024 and after completion of investigation,



challan has been presented against her. He further referred to the order dated 27.03.2025 passed by this Court (Annexure P-2), whereby the concession of bail has been granted to similarly placed co-accused, Salman Ali.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and she does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court. He further submits that two more cases of similar nature have also been ordered to be registered against the petitioner.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

5. In the present case, the petitioner was arrested on 11.06.2024 and is in custody for the last about 11 months. The challan has been presented against the petitioner. Even the prosecution has not been able to examine even a single witness so far and conclusion of the trial will take long time. Thus, the further custody of the petitioner will not serve any useful purpose.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail pending trial on her furnishing bail bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/ Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate subject to the following conditions:-

*(i) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case, so as to dissuade him to disclose such facts to the Court or to any other authority.*

*(ii) The petitioner shall remain present before the Court on the dates fixed for hearing of the case.*



*(iii) The petitioner shall not absent herself from the Court proceedings except on the prior permission of the Court concerned.*

*(iv) The petitioner shall surrender her passport, if any, (if already not surrendered), and in case she is not holder of the same, she shall swear an affidavit to that effect.*

*(v) The petitioner shall also file her affidavit before the concerned Court, mentioning her ordinary place of residence and number of mobile phone, which shall be used by her during the pendency of the trial. In case of change of place of residence/mobile number, she shall share the details with the concerned Court/learned Trial Court.*

*(vi) In case, the petitioner is involved in any other criminal activity, during the pendency of the trial, it shall be viewed seriously.*

*(vii) The concerned Court may insist on two heavy local sureties and may also impose any other condition, in accordance with law, while accepting the bails bonds and surety bonds of the petitioner.*

7. In case, the petitioner violates any of the conditions mentioned above, it shall be viewed seriously and the concession of bail granted to her shall be liable to be cancelled and the prosecution shall be at liberty to move an application in this regard.

**26.05.2025**  
*vipin*

**(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No