



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-355-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:20.03.2025**

Pardeep ...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and Anr. ...Respondents

Coram : **Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**

Present: Mr. Rakesh Nehra, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Vikalp Hooda, Advocate and
Mr. Reetesh Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Kumar Banku, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Arun Kumar Singal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

CRM-2554-2025

Application is allowed as prayed for, subject to just all exceptions.

Annexures P-3 to P-5 are taken on record.

Main case

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.446, dated 23.10.2024, under Sections 120-B, 389,406,420,506 of IPC, registered at Police Station Gannaur, District Sonipat (Annexure P-1).
2. While granting the concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court on 04.02.2025, the following contentions were noticed by this Court and

the same have been reproduced below:-

“Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegations levelled by the complainant are highly improbable and unbelievable. Learned senior counsel has further referred to the averments made in Para-6 of the status report filed by State of Haryana, which clearly shows that an amount of Rs.89,74,200/- were returned by the accused to the complainant through different bank accounts, over a period of time. This clearly shows that there were financial transactions between both the parties and a civil dispute has been converted into a criminal offence illegally by the complainant side. He further contends that the case is based on documentary evidence and the custodial interrogation of the petitioner may not be required”.

3. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner has reiterated the submissions and further submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation may not be required.

4. Learned State counsel also submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the above statement made by learned counsel for the parties, the interim order dated 04.02.2025 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

20.03.2025

hitesh

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No