



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(245)

IOIN IN/AND FAO-6052-2011

Date of Decision:-27.08.2025

RAJBALA AND OTHERS

... Petitioner

Versus

BIKRAM AND OTHERS

... Respondents

-.-

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present:- None.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. As per the office report, Mr. Anil Ghangas, learned counsel for the appellants has been informed but no one has put in appearance on behalf of the appellants today. Service of the respondents could not take place for want of correct address and this is a position for quite some time and even the counsel for the appellants is not responding. It seems that appellants are not interested in pursuing the petition.

2. In view of the above and given the prevailing circumstances, there seems to be no justification to keep the appeal on the docket, indefinitely. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellants to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.

3. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, are also disposed of accordingly.

27.08.2025

S. Pathania(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No