



CRM-M-32708-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

217

CRM-M-32708-2025  
Decided on : 03.09.2025

AMARDEEP SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Ajay Kumar Rana, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jasdeep Singh, Addl. AG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Amardeep Singh, aged about 21 years	179	13.07.2024	308(4), 62, 351 of BNS, Sections 25/27/54/59 of Arms Act (during investigation, section 308(4) of BNS and sections 25/27/54/59 of Arms Act have been deleted and Section 308(5) of BNS has been added later on)	Jandiala	Amritsar

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that, as per the CCTV footage installed at the house of the complainant, namely Dr. Deepak



Gupta, three individuals with their faces covered, were seen riding a motorcycle in front of the complainant's residence. One of the accused, armed with a pistol/revolver tied to his waist, allegedly fired two shots towards the upper portion of the complainant's house and two shots at the gate. Subsequently, around midnight, an extortion call was received from an unidentified international number (+351968656873) on the mobile phone of Somya Gupta, who is daughter-in-law of the complainant, demanding a sum of Rs.1 crore.

3. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that petitioner was arrested on 24.07.2024, and during the course of investigation, he disclosed the names of other accused persons, namely Iqbal Singh @ Raja, Akashdeep Singh @ Kujja, Kahan Singh @ Kahna, and Maan Singh @ Manna.

It is further submitted that co-accused Akashdeep Singh @ Kujja has already been granted regular bail by this Court, vide order dated 22.08.2025 passed in CRM-M-63732-2024, and co-accused Iqbal Singh @ Raja has also been granted bail by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar, vide order dated 22.05.2025 (Annexure P-2).

4. Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner, who is a young boy, has not been involved in any other case, except FIR No.170 dated 05.07.2024, registered under Sections 308(4)/62/351 of the BNS and Sections 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar. In the said case, he has already been granted bail after undergoing custody for a period of 8 months and 11 days.

It is further pointed out that although both FIRs, including the one in the present case and FIR No.170 (supra), were registered prior to his



arrest, petitioner was not named in either at the time of registration and was only implicated after his arrest on 24.07.2024.

5. Since, petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case, counsel prays for grant of regular bail to him.

6. On advance notice and in response to the arguments addressed by learned counsel for the petitioner, learned State counsel, produces the custody certificate and status report dated 02.09.2025 in Court today, which are taken on record. Office to tag the same at appropriate place. A copy thereof has been handed over to the counsel for the petitioner.

As per the custody certificate, petitioner has already undergone a custody period of 1 year, 1 month, and 2 days in the present case, and he is also involved in one more case, registered as FIR No.170 dated 05.07.2024, as already mentioned by learned counsel for the petitioner here above.

7. Learned State counsel, while opposing the prayer for bail, submits that petitioner is not entitled to the concession of regular bail in view of the gravity of the offence allegedly committed by him and his criminal antecedents.

He further submits that there exists a strong apprehension that petitioner may misuse the concession of bail and abscond from trial, if released. However, he is unable to dispute any of the factual assertions made by counsel for the petitioner, during the course of arguments before this Court.

8. This Court has heard the submissions addressed by counsel for the parties and has also gone through the record available before it.



CRM-M-32708-2025

4

9. In view of totality of circumstances, and the facts/allegations levelled against the petitioner, and the factors noticed hereinabove, this Court deems it appropriate to grant the concession of bail to the petitioner.

10. Consequently, prayer made in the present petition is **allowed**. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

11. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

12. Any of the discussion done and recorded here above, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law.

13. Petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)  
JUDGE

03.09.2025

Lavisha

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO  
Whether Reportable: ~~YES~~/NO