



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

112+227

**CRM-25833-2025 in/ and
CRM-M-53498-2024
Date of decision: 10.07.2025**

BRIJ MOHAN BHANDARI

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.. Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Aayush Gupta, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Samra, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ankur Jain, Advocate for the complainant.

H.S. Grewal, J. (Oral)

CRM-25833-2025

Allowed as prayed for and compromise deed dated 04.06.2025 as Annexure A-1
is taken on record.

Main case

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 483 Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 seeking regular bail in FIR No.114
dated 12.09.2018 under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, 1860
registered at Police Station Tibba, District Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana.



2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner allegedly had fabricated a sale deed bearing Vasika No.2905 dated 15.02.1994. This deed purported Dalip Singh as the seller and the petitioner as the buyer of the land situated in Village Kuliewal, Abadi Geeta Nagar, Tehsil and District Ludhiana. Based on these falsified documents, the petitioner portrayed himself as the rightful owner of the land.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is in custody since 16.09.2018 and has already undergone custody period of more than 03 years and 04 months.

3. Learned counsel for the State vehemently opposes the grant of concession of regular bail by way of filing of custody certificate dated 09.07.2025 and further states that the petitioner has undergone actual custody period of 03 years, 04 months and 25 days.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the material placed on record.

6. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, and the fact that the custody period undergone by the petitioner is 03 years, 04 months and 25 days and the fact that the matter has been compromised, further incarceration of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice and also conclusion of the trial is likely to take a long time. Therefore, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is granted concession of regular bail in the present case, on his furnishing requisite bonds to the



satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/ Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned. The pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

8. It is however, made clear that in case during his bail, the petitioner indulges in any offence, the State shall be at liberty to file an application for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

10th July, 2025

Sonia Puri

**(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No