



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

106/2		Date of decision: 08.04.2025 RSA-2203-1992 (O&M)
Smt. Maya		...Appellant
	V/s	
Smt. Mahima and others		...Respondents
2)		RSA-206-1993 (O&M)
Smt. Mahima		...Appellant
	V/s	
Smt. Maya and others		...Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: None.

\*\*\*

**VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)**

On the last date of hearing, the following order was passed:-

*“As per the report of the Registry, notices issued to the respondents have been received back unserved with the report that the given address does not fall in the jurisdiction of District Bhiwani.*

*Upon enquiry from the Registry, it has been stated that the given address now falls in District Hisar.*

*Let the requisite corrections be made in the memorandum of parties by the Registry.*

*Let fresh notice be issued to the respondents for 08.04.2025 through the District Court in Hisar.*

*A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the connected case.”*

2. Today, as per the report of the Registry, notice issued to respondents No.1 to 3 (in RSA-206-1993) has not been received back either served or otherwise.



RSA-2203-1992 (O&M) and another connected case -2-

3. Primarily, it was the duty of the parties to put in appearance once the appeals were listed for hearing. However, since they had been listed after a long time, efforts were made to serve the parties.

4. On the last date of hearing, no one had put in appearance on behalf of the parties. Today also no one has appeared for the parties.

5. It appears that the appellant(s) is no longer interested in pursuing the present appeal(s).

6. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**April 08, 2025**

vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No