



103

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-30731-2025
DECIDED ON: 31.07.2025**

SURESH RAJPUT ALIAS SURESH KUMARPETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.

Present: Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioner, seeking grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.41, dated 22.04.2025, under Section(s) 140(1) of BNS, registered at Police Station Daba, District Ludhiana.
2. It is noticed by this Court that multiple accused are involved in committing the offence against the complainant, who is earning his livelihood by running a golgappa and tikki stall. The contention raised by the counsel for the petitioner regarding a partial settlement cannot be accepted, particularly in view of the fact that several individuals are implicated in the case. Accordingly, the said argument is outrightly rejected.
3. Court cannot ignore the fact that a poor individual has been victimized by multiple accused persons merely because he acted as a witness to the love marriage of his friend, Rajan, with Diya, who is daughter of one of the accused, namely Suresh Rajput.

4. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is willing to withdraw the present bail petition, provided that, upon his surrender before the Court below, his application for regular bail is directed to be decided within a time-bound manner.

5. In view of the statement made by counsel for the petitioner, present petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

6. However, it is directed that in case, petitioner surrenders before the concerned Court below, within a period of one week from today, and moves an application for regular bail, same would be decided expeditiously, as per law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

31.07.2025

Lavisha

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*
Whether reportable *Yes/No*