



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

251, 259

Date of decision: 27.02.2025

CWP-7619-2021 (O&M)

Jaskaran Singh vs. State of Punjab and others

CWP-26447-2021 (O&M)

Akashdeep Singh vs. State of Punjab and others

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Mansur Ali, Advocate for the petitioner
in CWP-7619-2021.

Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner
in CWP-26447-2021.

Ms. Shruti, AAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. These cases involve similar issues and therefore, are being disposed of together and for the sake of brevity, the facts are being taken from CWP-7619-2021.
2. Prayer made in the present petitions is for quashing of the order dated 20.06.2014 (Annexure P6) and memos dated 10.11.2021 and 19.02.2020.
3. The petitioner's father passed away on 30.06.2008 in harness, at that time, her was a minor and as such, his mother had requested that he be given appointment after he attains the age of 18 years. His case was recommended by the Director General of Police vide letter dated 31.12.2013 (Annexure P5) for being recruited as Constable, however, it was declined vide impugned order in a mechanical manner on the ground that his mother was working as Senior Assistant. Learned counsel while drawing the attention of

2025:PHHC:028330



this Court to Clause 11(a) of the Instructions dated 10.07.2005/18.07.2005,
prays for reconsideration of the claim, which reads thus:-

“In deserving cases even where there is already an earning member may be considered for compassionate appointment with prior approval of the Secretary of the Department concerned, who before approving such appointment will satisfy himself that grant of compassionate appointment is justified having regard to number of dependents, assets and liabilities left by the Government servant, income of the earning member as also his liabilities including the fact that the earning member is residing with the family of the Government servant and whether he should not be a source of support to other members of the family.”

4. The aforesaid facts having been brought out, during the course of hearing, learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the respondents would not be averse to have a relook at the matter and decide afresh, taking note of the aforesaid Instructions, within a period of 4 months, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner.

5. The aforesaid satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioner.

6. The matter stands disposed of accordingly and if the petitioner is found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same may be passed after granting opportunity of hearing to him and shall contain reasons, whereupon he shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

7. Photocopy of this order be placed on the connected file.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

27.02.2025

ashok

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No