



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

212

CRM-M-39137-2025 (O&amp;M)

Date of decision: 11.09.2025

Amandeep Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present : Mr. P.S. Sekhon, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)**

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 51 dated 05.04.2025 under Sections 318(4), 61(2) of BNS and Section 24 of the Immigration Act registered at Police Station Koom Kalan District Ludhiana.
2. On 24.07.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“This petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, for the grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 51 dated 05.04.2025 under Sections 318(4), 61(2) of BNS and Section 24 of the Immigration Act registered at Police Station Koom Kalan District Ludhiana.

The case of the prosecution is that one Sunny Mehta along with the petitioner cheated upon the complainant under false pretext of sending him to Australia for a sum of Rs. 24 lac, out of which Rs. 3 lac was deposited into the account of the present petitioner, who is employed by Sunny Mehta. This amount was subsequently transferred to Sunny Mehta's account.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner acted solely on the instructions of Sunny Mehta, her employer, and has no role in the false promise made by the co-accused, Sunny Mehta to the complainant regarding sending him abroad.

Notice of motion for 11.09.2025.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Gautam Thapar, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation as

and when required and in the event of her arrest, she shall be released on interim bail on her furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of SHO/Investigating Officer, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-

- i) that the petitioner shall make herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
- ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
- iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;
- iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, she shall make herself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from Inspector Jagdeep Singh affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 24.07.2025 granting interim bail to her, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to her.

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)**  
**JUDGE**

**11.09.2025**

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No  
Whether reportable : Yes / No