



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

268

CRM-M-8730-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11.09.2025

Nirmal Kumar Jain through LRs

...Petitioner

Versus

Sunil Dhir

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : None for the petitioner

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing/setting aside of order dated 05.02.2019, vide which the application dated 22.11.2018 filed by the petitioner for amendment in the name of accused/respondent i.e. Sunil Dhir instead of Sudhir Dhir in notice and complaint dated 27.07.2018 bearing No.COMA/10277/2018 filed under Section 138 NI Act, was rejected.

2. As per the order dated 01.07.2024 passed by the trial Court, the complaint in question itself was withdrawn, which reads thus:

“Today learned counsel for complainant come present and sufferd a separate statement that the compromise has been arrived between the complainant and the accused. Written compromise dated 18.05.2024 has already been placed on the file. The accused has already made the part payment and agreed to pay the remaining amount. SO, the complainant does not want to pursue the present complaint. The same may kindly be dismissed as withdrawn being compromised.

“In view of the statement so suffered by learned counsel for the complainant, present complaint stands dismissed as withdrawn. BW/NBW/P.O. warrants are ordered to be recalled, if issued any. Intimation in this regard be sent to concerned police station. File be consigned to record room after due compliance.

3. In view of which, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

11.09.2025

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No