

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:138018



261

CRWP-8199-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 30.09.2025.

Balbir Singh and others

...Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

.....

Present: Mr. Tarranum Madan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. P.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate for respondent No.5.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)

As per reply filed by learned State counsel by way of affidavit of respondent No.6, the application was submitted by Surminder Singh to Deputy Inspector General of Police, Patiala Range, Patiala against Balvir Singh, Nirmal Singh and Karamjit Singh with the allegation of cheating of Rs.70 lakhs. The S.S.P., Sangrur marked the said application to the deponent for inquiry/ investigation and report. During inquiry of the application, statements of the applicant party were recorded and the opposing party submitted the typed statements.

The fact of the earlier inquiry was not known to the deponent, but after receiving order dated 30.07.2025 passed by this Court in CRWP-8199-2025, it was found that earlier also the applicant had submitted the application regarding the same subject matter to the S.S.P. Patiala and

inquiry into the said application was conducted by S.S.P. Investigation Patiala and after inquiry the said application was consigned to the record by the S.S.P., Patiala.

Keeping in view the facts that inquiry into the same set of facts of the present application had already been conducted, it was not deemed appropriate to conduct another inquiry/ investigation based on the same set of the allegations. Accordingly, S.S.P., Sangrur submitted a report vide No.238/C/SP (Investigation) dated 18.08.2025 with the recommendation to consign the application to the record.

Considering the report submitted by the deponent, the S.S.P. Sangrur wrote a letter to Deputy Inspector General of Police, Patiala Range, Patiala that inquiry into the already submitted application had been conducted by the S.S.P. Investigation, Patiala and after an inquiry the said application had been consigned to the record by the S.S.P. Patiala, so it was not appropriate to conduct another inquiry on the same set of allegations.

The present application has been filed by the petitioner for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing respondent No.6 to desist from conducting multiple preliminary enquiries on the complaint filed by respondent No.5.

In view of the status report, no further order is required to be passed in the present case as grievance of the petitioner has already been redressed.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

30.09.2025.

Komal Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/ No
Whether reportable? : Yes/ No