



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(213)

RSA-1039-1999 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-**11.08.2025**

GIAN CHAND

... Appellant

Versus

THE MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, SONEPAT

... Respondent

:-

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL


Present:- None for the appellant.

Mr. Piyush Bansal, Advocate
for respondent-MC Sonipat (through **video conferencing**).

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Despite case being called twice, no one has appeared on behalf of the appellant. Perusal of file reveals that on the previous date i.e. 08.01.2025, none appeared for the sole appellant. As per office report, notice issued to the sole appellant has been received back unserved for want of complete address. No other address of the appellant is available with the Court. In such circumstances no effort can be made to secure presence of the appellant.

2. In view of the above and given the prevailing circumstances, there seems to be no justification to keep the appeal on the docket, indefinitely. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of



prosecution with liberty to the appellant to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.

3. Since the main case has been decided, pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stands also disposed of.

11.08.2025
Gaurav Sorot

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No

Whether reportable? Yes / No