



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

207

RSA-3683-1998 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.03.2025

BALWANT RAI

.... Appellant

Versus

SOHAN LAL AND ORS.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Ms. Anamika Sheoran, Advocate for
Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocate for respondents No. 1 to 5.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. As per office note, appellant has expired.
2. There is no representation on his behalf.
3. Similar was the position on the previous occasion.
4. No one has come forward to pursue the appeal.
5. It seems that the appellant/his legal representatives are not interested in the litigation.
6. Dismissed in default for want of prosecution.
7. However, liberty is granted to the appellant/his legal representatives to seek revival of the appeal, in case, anything worthwhile survives for consideration.

27.03.2025

pooja saini

(SUVIR SEHGAL)**JUDGE**

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| <i>Whether Speaking/Reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>Whether Reportable</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |